

Re: Newsgroup back!

Re: Newsgroup back!

Source:

<http://newsgroups.derkeiler.com/Archive/Rec/rec.arts.sf.tv.babylon5.moderated/2007-08/msg00891.html>

- *From:* StarFuryG7@xxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Fri, 17 Aug 2007 22:59:07 -0700
-

On Aug 17, 8:57 pm, Matt Ion <soundy...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I'm not talking about "message boards" – I'm talking about unmoderated newsgroups.

There is a similarity between the two and how they function and what purpose they serve. I was speaking only in a general sense. And yes, there's a lot of junk out there in newsgroups if you want to talk about "signal-to-noise ratio," but they're not the only venue available for one to express his or her thoughts. If people want to restrict themselves to newsgroups instead of other platforms that would serve in essence the same purpose, then they get what they deserve.

Almost all "message boards" ARE, in fact, moderated, or at least capable of being moderated

The question is to what degree, if any.

the administrator can choose to moderate and/or appoint moderators, to vet messages before they become visible, and/or to edit or delete them after the fact.

You're just stating the obvious here; I've been perusing boards, be they Usenet or whatever else since 1994, and I sure as hell don't need you or Amy to tell me how they function or what purpose they serve.

Been there, done that, pal.

Really, dude, you show a complete lack of understanding of the differences in public communication methods on the internet, and of how

Re: Newsgroup back!

Re: Newsgroup back!

each of them operate beneath the surface. For your own sake, give up this little Quixotian quest before you hurt yourself.

Oh, give me a break --you have an agenda that is based on your not liking my attitude, and which therefore dictates that everything I say must in fact wrong whether it is or not.

As far as I'm concerned, you're the one who's talking out of his arse. And your so-called 'knowledge' doesn't impress me one bit.

.