

## Re: OT: Did they lie or not?

---

*Source:* <http://newsgroups.derkeiler.com/Archive/Rec/rec.arts.marching.drumcorps/2005-11/msg00296.html>

---

- *From:* Wage Peace <[whatif@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:whatif@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 07 Nov 2005 11:58:48 GMT
- 

in article 436EE9D1.3050600@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx, David White at [wlighting@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:wlighting@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx) wrote on 11/7/05 00:44:

> Wage Peace wrote:

>> in article 1131235401.092447.66170@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, the OTHER

>> Mike at [BleuRaeder@xxxxxxx](mailto:BleuRaeder@xxxxxxx) wrote on 11/5/05 19:03:

>>

>>

>>>> We need to put some energy into finding someone who can put this

>>>> country back on the right track regardless of political party.

>>>

>>>

>>> Absolutely. But before that happens we will have to get these neo-con

>>> thugs

>>> out of office. They are intending to keep us at war with the world and

>>> with

>>> each other as long as they are able to do so.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>> But thats ok, you guys keep up the pissing contest about who's right

>>>> and who's wrong.

>>>

>>>> We needed to do something about Iraq. It's been done. Now it's a

>>>> clusterfuck on how to get out.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> We could simply withdraw. Remember, the first remedy for any ongoing

>>> criminal act is to STOP the act. Then we can figure out what to do to

>>> repair it. Apparently these neo-con thugs have no intention of

>>> stopping the

>>> act.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>> Forget whether it was right or wrong, find someone who can end it and

>>>> get out troops home.

>>>

Re: OT: Did they lie or not?

>>>  
>>> I don't agree. To allow this immense crime to be ignored (and even  
>>> rewarded) would be a bad idea. Those responsible for this crime  
>>> (invasion  
>>> of a non-threatening sovereign nation and the many deaths that  
>>> resulted)  
>>> should be tried in the Hague like any other war criminals.  
>>> \*\*\*\*\*  
>>> \*\*  
>>> \*\*\*\*\*  
>>>  
>>> Sorry, I think they are way ahead of us. They have this crap scripted  
>>> far enough that if we spend time trying to prove right & wrong we wil  
>>> stay out of control when the next election comes up.  
>>  
>>  
>> I know we have disagreed on this in the past, but the elections are not  
>> really going to help us so long as the vote is counted by Bush/GOP  
>> operatives.  
>>  
>> There is so much illegal activity around the elections of 2000 and 2004,  
>> that IMO, the elections are pretty much pointless. Until we have a system  
>> in place where every vote is counted, and those who count the votes are NOT  
>> trying to force the result, we will have "elections" that put in power  
>> someone who the majority doesn't want.  
>>  
>>> Sometimes you have to lose a battle to win the war.  
>>  
>>  
>> True, but is a battle over an illegal war a battle that we should be willing  
>> to "lose?"  
>>  
>>> To me, the goal should be getting our collective shit together so that  
>>> we can do something in the next election. Pissing and moaning about  
>>> how wrong they are is playing INTO their power. You post that we have  
>>> to get them out of office.....well it ain't going to happen in  
>>> the next election if the time is wasted bitching about "how" wrong they  
>>> are. they're wrong, get organized.  
>>  
>>  
>> What do you suggest?  
>>  
>>  
>>> If we can't get organized on a different party system to defeat the  
>>> dems and reps, how the hell do you expect to get them out of office ?  
>>  
>>  
>> I don't. And as far as I can tell they don't either. I have observed for  
>> the past 5 years how these guys act as if they are not at all worried about  
>> having to answer for their actions and crimes. Given the fiasco that was  
>> the last two presidential elections, I can see why.

Re: OT: Did they lie or not?

Re: OT: Did they lie or not?

>>

>>

>>> You seem to think that removing Bush from office solves the problem.

>>> Bush is just the tip of the iceberg.

>>

>>

>> Removing Bush from office will be an important and vital step in the process

>> of getting our country back to greatness.

>>

>>

>>> But thats ok, would you spend time on deck trying to prove to everyone

>>> how bad the captain of the Titanic was, or would you try to get

>>> organized and save people ?

>>

>>

>> First save people, then hold those responsible for the wreck accountable.

>> In this case, "saving people" would mean getting these thugs out of the

>> White House. Then they should be held accountable for their crimes. While

>> we are doing those things we should also make sure that we fix the elections

>> problems so we can have a real democratic government.

>>

>> Wage Peace

>>

> it is pointless to argue with Shawn. He is so blinded by his hate for

> Bush, that is his only goal, get rid of Bush. He does not understand

> that it doesn't matter who is in power, the people are still screwed.

> And we will continue to be as long as we have the idea that we can do

> anything about it. In Calif, we proved that it can be done. We got rid

> of one of the worst Governors in the states history. is what we replaced

> him with perfect?? Far from. But at least we proved that the people can

> stand up the the powers that be, and win.

What a load of crap. Bush is a ridiculously horrible president and a dishonorable "commander in chief." It's interesting that if one points out even half of the things he has screwed up, on gets labeled a "bush basher," or accused of being "blinded by hatred." That's just one of the Fox"news" talking heads' lines.

Whatever.

Bush and his crew are war criminals, they have run a ridiculously inept and corrupt administration, they have not been held accountable for any of their lies and failures (yet), and they are clearly intending to continue on the same trend.

Compared to the the eight years of the previous administration, where we have a huge budget surplus (largest in US history), were relatively at peace, were NOT hated around the world or isolated from our Allies, and we didn't have US soldiers dying at an average rate of 3-4 per day in an illegal war against a nation that did not attack us.

"Blinded by hate?" Go Cheney yourself.

Wage Peace

---

• **References:**

- ◆ **OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: fluty1
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: ns787980
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: fluty1
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: the OTHER Mike
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: Wage Peace
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: the OTHER Mike
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: Wage Peace
  - ◆ **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**  
◇ From: David White
- Prev by Date: **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**
  - Next by Date: **Re: a little help, if it's ok to ask?**
  - Previous by thread: **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**
  - Next by thread: **Re: OT: Did they lie or not?**
  - Index(es):
    - ◆ **Date**
    - ◆ **Thread**