

Re: Hmmm

## Re: Hmmm

---

*Source:* <http://newsgroups.derkeiler.com/Archive/Alt/alt.support.chronic-pain/2007-10/msg00117.html>

---

- *From:* nullified <null@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 04 Oct 2007 21:19:41 GMT
- 

On Thu, 4 Oct 2007 11:54:36 -0700, "Juba" <juba@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Not really. Actually, I have no following, nor do I want one. I'll be friendly to people; I'll treat people the way they treat me, but I'm not looking to make friends with anyone here. I have a life, so I don't need to make friends here and I've been burned by people here who claimed to be my friend. I won't mention any names in regards to that or in the rest of what I'm about to say, but I am going to try to explain what is really going on here.

Strictly in terms of this newsgroup, the fighting is about convincing others not to listen to what a person is saying/has said about you by trying to impeach their character.

What part of PAIN's "Now to the direct point of all the current arguments, to which I know very little, and wish to know less, so please....no attempts to educate me about them..." didn't you understand, Ken?

But also what is said in this newsgroup can have the effect of polluting the public record of someone.

I started the Kook Faq after being subjected to several years of people engaging in systematic assassination of my character, through posting thousands of messages containing lies and personal information about me.

That's terrible. But... ummm... would it be impertinent to ask why all these different people have engaged in character assassination of you? I mean, are you really just a very unlucky person to have all these individuals single you out? Or (and I genuinely wonder whether you've ever asked yourself this) do you think that maybe, just possibly, it might be because you're an argumentative and malicious retard?

Re: Hmmm

Re: Hmmm

When people post lies or personal information about someone in a public space like this, it's not the same as having an argument with someone in your living room. The things that are said in this room last forever. They are archived by Google and other services and are available through any search engine. Every post is, in essence, a web page.

So anyone who claims that making a web page about someone is an escalation in tactics is either really stupid or really dishonest. The Kook Faq is not the cause of the fighting. In fact, the Kook Faq is the only reason that there has been any peace here.

The difference, as you are well aware, is that a Google archive is reliable. It covers exactly what was said, with no artful snipping to hide bits you don't want read. It's also fixed, and doesn't get changed at the whim of the author. So, Kenny, who is it that's "really stupid or really dishonest"? That's okay, it's a rhetorical question – I know you'll have trouble refuting anything I've said and so will pretend not to have read it. I've only replied so Google will have a true record of events :o)

And make no mistake about this, I am always the one who backs off first. Always. Otherwise there would never be any periods of relative quiet here. The fighting went on continuously for several years before I created the Kook Faq and then stopped responding to the constant attacks on me.

That will be why you've started fresh threads on the subject in recent days then? LMFAO, if you weren't so pathetic you'd be funny, Ken.

Now, I won't get into why certain names are on the Kook Faq, even though they are trying to convince everyone here that it's entirely unjustified, and that if it weren't for the Kook Faq there'd be no fighting. That's simply not true. The Kook Faq certainly didn't start this last battle. It was started by an anonymous post by someone who did it because they wanted to stir things up again and they knew I'd be blamed for it.

Liar. Your hate-site is *\*precisely\** what started this recent tiff.

The archives can never be cleansed of all of the lies and personal information that has been posted about me, and therefore the Kook Faq is also never coming down. Period. I re-evaluate the inclusion of some names from time to time, and I remove pages when I feel sufficient time has passed. However, there are some names that are never coming off because their trespasses against me or others are too extensive or too

Re: Hmmm

Re: Hmmm

grievous.

LMFAO you sanctimonious twat. When \*you\* feel sufficient time has passed you decide you'll release someone? Others have committed greivous harm against you so will stay forever? What, more greivous than publishing their name and address, you mean? You have a mental health problem, Ken, but at least you are testament to the fact that too much pot screws up your head :o)

Those who wish to portray me in a negative light so as to marginalize me in the minds of the "silent majority" will have plenty to say about this post, but I've said all that needs to be said on this subject and I will not be reading or responding to anything they say.

LOL, more lies Ken. You'll read every word of it. And then you'll read it again, several times. Obsessing over it, even.  
Still, you can stop trying to convince everyone of the purpose of your hate site now, as we all already know and we're not about to be convinced by your line of crap. You better hope that you never have to use the same garbage in a court, though, when one of the victims of your libels decides to use the law against you (I'd be happy to make a contribution to anyones costs :o)

.

Re: Hmmm