

Re: Stinnett another hit with a runner on

Source:

<http://newsgroups.derkeiler.com/Archive/Alt/alt.sports.baseball.ny-yankees/2006-05/msg07987.html>

- *From:* Kenny1111 <kcyanks1@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 31 May 2006 08:21:00 -0400
-

Jonah Falcon wrote:

Tom K wrote:

Jonah Falcon wrote:

Now hitting .391 with runners on base.

And how are his 20 or so at-bats in these situations remotely meaningful?

He's hit better of late – then again, even Luis Sojo and Enrique Wilson had random 20 at-bat stretches of greatness. It means little.

Sure. Stinnett's hits have been meaningless. Maybe you should think

That's not what he said. The hits may have helped the team. They just don't indicate anything about his ability, especially about his "clutch" ability.

before you drink. Most Yankees only have 30–50 ABs with runners on. This isn't October you know.

And 30–50 at-bats doesn't mean anything either for the purposes Tom was discussing. 300–500 at-bats in "clutch" situations doesn't mean significantly much either, for predictive purposes.

Do you relieve believe, based on 20–or–so at-bats, that Stinnett is a good hitter with runners on base, or against lefties? That's just absurd.

.